FCO MAIL ROOM DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

FEB 2 4 1997

No. of Corine Table
List ABCDE

rest usuad informed for ch

Gene & Cyndl Gray 37476 Cambridge Ln. Palmdak, CA 93550-6243

FCO MAIL RCC: FEB 2 4 1997

There of the Sewather Commissions
1919 m. Street Now
North OC 20554

MO P M 66 199 G

ZIP

RECEIVED **FEB 2 4 1**997 Federal Communications Commission World France Copy OfficiNAL Chairman Reed Hurt Federal Communications Commiss 1919 m St. n.W. Mashington, D.C. 2055 7 Dear Chairman With 5 The Aller of Sendent by much of the TV Progresson If gleward the enforcement of Bradcast Indecency Laws. The Governmen had a valid interest in protecting all Umericasboth adults and children from indlicent programming in order to maintain a decent society: Therefore, I want the commission to change its policy and enforce the broadcost indecency law against TV Stations of With the clawn of the Valip The FCC must reject the plawed. sating proposal from moles

picture association of america (M.P. A.A) and substitute instead a system the rates programming by content, as parents demand. Thank for may of Alan from your soon. Surverely, Mustanji 80 W. H) + 45/52

RECEIVED

84 First Street

FEB 2 4 1997

Garden City Park, NY, 11040

Federal Communications Commission January 27, 1997
Office of Secretary DUCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

970001111

Chairman Reed Hundt

Federal Communications Commission

1919 M Street NW

Washington, DC, 20554

RECEIVED
RECEIVED

Dear Chun. Hundt:

૧૯૬૬ન કરી છે. ૧૯૬૬ન સ્ટાઇસ્ટરના સ્ટાઇસ્ટરના સ્ટાઇસ્ટરના As an American who is offended by much of the television programming, I urge you to enforce the Broadcast Indecency Laws.

The Government has a valid interest in protecting all Americans From violent and sex-laden programming, which inspire some viewers to commit illegal acts.

The FCC must reject the flawed ratings proposal From the Motion Picture Association of America and (over)

substitute instead, a system that rates by content.

Sincerely,

Michael Tombarely

Michael Tombarely

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Jan.31, 1997.

Dear Mr. Hunt - I am very concerned about the increasing violence, profanity and indecency content of television programs. I would therefore ask you to:

- Enforce the Federal broadcast indecency law against TV stations. I understand that this will involve a change in policy, but all Americans, both adults and children, have a right to be protected from indecent programming.
- Reject the ratings proposal from the Motion Picture Asson.
 of America, and substitute, instead, a system that rates
 programming by content, as parents and organizations
 concerned for the wellbeing of children, have been
 demanding.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman, for your attention to these urgent matters.

Sincerely (Mrs.) Jill Hamze RECEIVED

FEB 2 4 199/

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

CS97-55 RECEIVED Teston, Int.

FEB 2 4 1997

1/30/97

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

97020120

Chr. Red Thenet

Fed. Communications Comm

Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Sin:

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

The past failure of the F. C. C. to enforce the Federal product indexing how against TY stations is the primary reason why so much TV programming is offerior.

The government has a valid interest in protecting all americans from indecent programming, the deprese court has start, "anight of the notion - to maintain a decent society".

m. Hent, we want you to change the policy of the Commission and enforce the broadcast inducing law against TV stations.

with the dawn of the V- Chip the F. C.C. must reject flower noting proposed by the Instead Printer assoc. of america ((In PAI) and in its place a system that rates proporting by content, as painted demand.

Survively,

Mu.+ Mrs. Bin Bubent Toston, Int. 59643

TE 6 10 SO W 97

		/
No. of Co	Dies rec'd	/
List ABC	DE TOU	

RECEIVED

970: 6107

FEB 2 4 1997

January 30, 1997

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Chairman Reed Hundt Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW Washington, DC 20554



Dear Chairman Hundt:

I am very concerned about the deteriorating and offensive quality of television programming. I want you to do something about it!

As chairman of the FCC, you are in a position to make a change that will result in lasting improvement. I hope that you will give your attention and efforts to enforcing the Federal broadcast indecency law(s) against TV stations in violation.

I also hope that you will reject the TV industry's new rating system, which is based on the Motion Picture Association of America's age-based system for motion pictures. Instead, one should be developed that provides the information that 80% of parents preferred (in a recent study by the National Parent-Teacher Association) -- a rating system indicating levels of sex, vulgarity and violence.

As the Supreme Court has said, the nation has a right "to maintain a decent society".

Please consider this carefully -- the opportunity is a great one. Will you accept the challenge?

Sincerely,

Rebecca Kozak

P.O. Box 553

Alameda, CA 94501

RECEIVED DOCKET FILE COPY OF ICINIA

FEB 2 4 1997

97. 100

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

2021 4th Avenue North Seattle, Washington 98109 January 27, 1997

Chairman Reed Hundt Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street NW Washington, D. C.

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Dear Mr. Hundt:

As you are aware, there is considerable dissatisfaction in our country concerning the quality of a considerable amount of television programming. A major reason why this problem exists is because of the failure of the Federal Communications Commission to enforce the Federal broadcast indecency law.

The federal government has a valid interest in protecting all Americans—both adults and children—from indecent programming. In the words of the Supreme Court, there is "a right of the Nation... to maintain a decent society."

I strongly urge the FCC to reject the flawed ratings proposal from the Motion Picture Association of America and to substitute instead a system that rates programming by content. This is a step to be taken in addition to the proper enforcement of broadcast indecency law.

Sincerely,

Taul L.

Paul L. Simons

No. of Copies rec'd______

RECEIVED

FEB 2 4 1997

1/27/97

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

970 4999 Chairman Read Hundt Federal Communications Commission 1919 M. St. n. W. Washington, D. C. 20554

JMJ

Dear Mr. Hundt:

January 30, 1997

RECEIVED

970-0497

equal Killy MS

FEB 2 4 1997

You know the function of your FCC is to protect our airways from indecency, statem Communications Commissions why are you not doing it? Even the Supreme Office of Secretary Court holds that our Nation has an obligation to maintain a decent society.

I plead with you to enforce broadcasting ONLY decent material and see to it that ALL TV stations do so. Worst of all is the Motion Picture Assn. of America (MPAA) for violation in their V-Chip atrocious idea that does NOT keep their indecent, lewd stuff off the air. STOP THIS POLLUTION, NOW. Sincerely,

Dr Alfred J Kelly 5700 Belmont Dr Birmingham, AL 35210-2102

CS 97-55 76 Jefferson Road January 28, 1997 RECEIVED Chairman Red Nundt Federal Communication Commission FEB 2 4 1997 刀 1919 M Street 47 W Federal Communications Commission Washington, DC20554 Office of Secretary Mear Mr Stundt, , L'OCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL My Kurbard of feel that the programs that are now appearing on television cen be offeniae to all ages. Would it be possible to enforce the broadcast indecency Law against television stations. a new rating system like the type the Cable HBO status user would be more uneful for parents & grandparents. There are many PG movies that are more offensive than Exated movies, since each family has different openion. ruling I hat would be easier for a perion to determine if he would enjoy the Show. Your truly, Kegina Kodenburg

CS 97-55 DOCKET F" - COPY CRISINAL 0192 976 J. BOSTANY 8038 HARBOR VIEW Plan Chairman Hundt Foderal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary
We are afferded by Middleset T.V. Content. Delace Change your policy &

Enforce— the indecency law.

Please reject the MFAA ratings

Proposel & install a system that

rates programming by content. Thank free -Je Hanet Bostony No. of Copies rec'd

CS 97-55 RECEIVED FEB 2 4 1997 Office of Secretary

No. of Copies rec'd_ List ABCDE

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

JOSEPH B. SCHMIDT

178 Amblewood Lane Naples, Florida 34105

February 4, 199 Foderal Communications Commission Chairman Reed Hundt Federal Communications Commission Washington, DE 20554 Dear Chairman Hundl: were you strongly to enforce the Broaktast sidelancy Laws. The Government has a valid interest in proecting all americans - adults and lillen - from indecent programming. The words of the Supreme Court of there is "a right of the Nation ... to maintain a detent society Fee must reject the flaved ratings proposed from the Mother Picture Essociation of america and substitute instead a system that vates programming by content, as parents demand, May I have your comments, Verytruly yours, Joseph B. Schnidt

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

FEB 2 4 1997

Chairman Reed Hundt Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW Washington DC, 20554

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

January 17, 1997

Dear Mr. Hundt:

I write to you to express my deep concern that the TV industry is missing an excellent opportunity to provide demanding parents who are growing increasingly impatient with enough information on the shows their children watch.

Personally, I don't have the time to sit and watch all the programs with my child. I certainly am not going to let him watch just anything, considering the current vulgar and mindless programs that are being provided, short of the 2 hours daily of educational TV that you are required to provide. Maybe the Motion Picture industries intentional foot dragging on providing a decent ratings system is a God send. With the focus on TV and the motion picture industries obvious attempt to cloud the program content, I now, more than ever involve my child and his friends in activities rather than parking them in front of the tube to get things done. Books and painting are some of their favorite things to do.

The more you resist the more obvious your indifference to the parental concerns becomes. The current age-based rating system does not even begin to provide parents with specific information on the content of the programs, which would enable them to make accurate and meaningful decisions about what is appropriate for their children to watch.

A wide range of influential children's experts -- including Chidren Now, the American Medical Association, the National Education Association, the American Psychological Association, and the Coalition for America's Children among others -- support a content-based system rather than the proposed age-based one. And two recent polls, by the National PTA and the Media Studies Center, showed that 80% of paraents support a descriptive system over a move-ratings system. I absolutely must join the experts and parents in expressing my strong support for a content-based system and I urge you to respect their judgments and add specific content labels to your ratiangs system.

Signed, One of a growing number of concerned parents.

Sharon Wiebe 8726 S. Utica

Evergreen Park, III. 60805

han latiche

cc: Children Now

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

225 Seventh Avenue East West Fargo, ND 58078 January 28, 1997

RECEIVED

FEB 24 199/

Mr. Reed Hundt, Chairman Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Dear Mr. Hundt:

As a parent and educator, I have long been concerned about the quality of programming allowed on television. In view of the lack of interest on the television industries part to regulate itself, parents have been put in the role of being censors. I believe a rating system will control what will be shown to children. TV Parental Guidelines, I believe will go a long way in assisting parents who are concerned over what's available for their child on television. It is my hope that the guidelines will be easy to apply and easily understood by the viewing audience.

Thank you for taking time out of your schedule to read this letter and please consider what I have tried to say when making your decision on the TV Parental Guidelines issue.

Sincerely,

Nancy Peterson

Parent, teacher,

West Fargo Education Association, President and

SE Director for North Dakota Education Association

cc: Senator Kent Conrad

No. of Copies rec'd_____

23 Pike St. Cincinnaty Oh 45215 Feb. 3,1997

Chairman Reed Hundt Federal Communications Commission RECEIVED

FEB 2 4 1997

1919 M Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Dear Mr. Hundt,

I use the Federal Communications Commission to enforce the broadcast indecency laws against television stations. I understand that only once in the last two decades has a television station been fined for violating the Broadcast Indecency Faw. The fine was dropped after a Federal Court determined wrongly that the Broadcast Indency faw could not be enforced during TV's prime time I want to add that the proposes V-chip

I want to add that the proposes V-chip is not a pubstitute for enforcement of the indecency law,

I strongly use the Fec to vigorously enforce the laws against television stations that violate the Broadcast Decency Law,

Joseph Lohmuelle

No. of Copies rec'd______

CS 97-55 DOCKET FILE COPY CRIGINAL FEB 2 4 1997 **Federal Communications Commission** Office of Secretary Everyone both adults a Should be Pholecles from indecent ix order to maintain a desent so I want the Commission to Change its f and enforce the broadcast inde F.CC. must report the flawed rating proposal from the Motion feture assoc. One Substitute instead a Rypton that rates by Conlant, as parents demon Thank you and May I here from you Son No. of Copies rec'd List ABCDE

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

gmg
4260 Kotonot arenue aft 3K
Brony, New York 10470-2125
Debruary 3, 1997

Hederal Communications Commission 1919 M Street NN

RECEIVED

Washington, D.C. 20554

EEB 2 4 15 %/

attention: Chairman Reed Hundt

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Dear Chairman Hundt,

I urge you to charge the Commission's policy and enforce the hoodcast indecency low against TV statisties. In Nith the down of the V-Chiff the Min must reject the flaved ratings proposal from the Motion Picture association of anerica (MPAA) and substitute instead a system that rates programming by content, as parents demand.

there is "a right of the Nation - to maintain a decent society," Thank you for your consideration.

ms Heraldera Casey

CS 97-55

97000151

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

2-1-9/

Chairman Reed Hundt
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street NW
Washington, DC 20554

RECEIVED

FEB 2 4 1997

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Dear Chairman Hundt:

The government has a valid interest in protecting all Americans - both children and adults - from indecent programming. In the words of the Supreme Court, there is "a right of the Nation . . . to maintain a decent society."

Please change the commission's policy and enforce the broadcast indecency law against TV stations. Past failure in this area is a primary reason why all too much TV programming is offensive.

Also, with the dawn of the V-Chip the FCC must reject the flawed ratings proposal from the Motion Picture Association of America (MPAA) and substitute instead a system that rates programming by content.

We are "one Nation under God" because our founding fathers said so and because, 200 years later, we the American people, choose to be.

Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

9 39 AM '9

EWOOD (Address)

c: Morality in Media, 475 Riverside Dr, NYC 10115

No. of Copies rec'd_ List ABCDE RECEIVED

CS 97-55

CABLE SERVICES BUREAU

Fig. 19 19 19 55 771 '97

Bob Morrow 5254 Brindisi Court #5 Middleton WI 53562-2314 February 10, 1997

CS Docket No. 97-55
Office of the Secretary
1919 M Street NW, Room 222
Washington, DC 20554

RECEIVED

FEB 2 4 1997

Dear Commissioners:

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Please enter my comments into the V-chip docket.

Why even have this system? It is totally unnecessary and confusing. A few days ago, I was watching the popular show "Cops" and just as one of the officers is shoving a suspect into the concrete street, the "TV-PG" rating pops up. Does anyone see the hypocracy in that?

The best course of action for the FCC to take is get out of the TV program regulating game altogether. FCC's domain is in licensing and enforcing those licensing laws, allocating spectrum, and defining technical standards. FCC does not have adequate staffing to enforce existing rules and regulations, so why make that situation worse? The FCC has stepped out of its bounds by having anything to do with this silly scheme. Notice that the FCC does not regulate videotapes or movies, and for the first 50 years of TV, we seemed to do just fine without a rating system.

Furthermore, technology has existed for some years now that would accomplish the same thing as this "V-chip" and without all the silly requirements that normally follow government regulations. JVC made (makes? I don't know if it's still on the market) a TV that had a "Channel Guard" where a particular channel could be blocked to those who didn't know the access code. That's far more preferable than the dumb V-chip because it gives the end-user the ultimate control.

Let's face it, the V-chip was instigated as a purely political move by President Clinton. The market doesn't want it; if it did, it would have appeared a long time ago. Government can't make the market want what it doesn't want.

Sincerely,

BOB MORPOW

CS97-55

Galen Woodard
18005 Ken WOODERD.
Cinti Chio 45242
1-28-97 RECEIVED

Chairman Reed Hundt F.C.C.

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

PEB 2 4 153/

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Dear Mr Hundt,

I want you to enforce the Broad cast indecency laws. The past failure of the f.cc. to enforce federal broad east indecency haw against TV stations is a primary reason why so much TV programing offends all Americans.

I want you to change your policy and enforce the broad cast indecency laws against TV stations.

with the coming of the V-Chip, the FCC must

reject the slawed ratings proposal from the motion picture association of America and substute instead a system that rates programing by content as parents Demand. It is my observation that TV programers have run out

of good indpressive stories, so they have to put in something else - like cussing, blaspheny, sex, and disobeying the law and

getting away with it.

Mr. Balu Woodard

RECEIVED

FEB 2 4 1997

Federal Communications Commission $\gamma = 30 - 31$

CS 97-55

Dear Chairman Hundt.

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

I Am Driting

Lo Cook that the JCC enforce the Broadcast Indecency land. Both Children a Orderth Should but protected from indecent programming. Your past failure to enforce the laws are the reason we have so much TV programming that is offensive. Also I would like to see the Flower rating proposal of the March regarded a substitute system that rates programm by contents.

OROLLON,

97/11/13/3

RECEIVED

FEB 2 4 1997

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

29814 OAKVIEW LIVONIA MI 48154 JANUARY 7, 1997

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

CHAIRMAN REED HUNDT FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION 1919 M STREET NW WASHINGTON D C 20554

DEAR SIR:

WE ARE VERY CONCERNED ABOUT TV PROGRAMS WHICH AIR WHEN CHILDREN ARE OBVIOUSLY WATCHING. EVEN THE ADS AND MOVIE PROMOTIONS OR COMING ATTRACTIONS (ARE WE GIVING AWAY OUR AGE?) ON THE MORNING NEWS ARE SOMETIMES OFFENSIVE.

PLEASE ENFORCE THE BROADCAST INDECENCY LAW AGAINST TV STATIONS THAT VIOLATE IT. THE MUCH PUBLICIZED "V-CHIP" IS NO SUBSTITUTE FOR ENFORCING THE INDECENCY LAW, NOR IS THE RATING SYSTEM.

RESPECTFULLY,

PHYLLIS CASEY

For Vol 6

PATRICK H. CASEY

Phyllis Caser

No. of Copies rec'd_

List ABCDE

THE ENFORCEMENT OF THE COMPLAINTS